Previous references.
S&A 510-10 55 1&2 July 1911
S&A 285-10 881-4 B may 1911 1911-12
Pallegar file No 32 No II B
do No II (a) (S+A)
Pallegar file No 30 sect-I.
Later references.

File No. 32

Serial Nos. 1-2

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-2

Part B. for May 12

SUBJECT.

Jarikere Pallegar Family Pensions

1 From the Asst Comptroller in charge, S. H. Irp.
No 10 Dy 24. 7. 11.
Forwards the records of enquiry on the petition of Chelava narasamma - illegal wife of late Sarja Rangappa naik praying that she may be given Rs 14/- p. m. for the maintenance of herself and her daughter out of the pension of Rs 126-9-0 Sanctioned to Honnamma Nagati - wife of late Sarja Rangappa naik.

G. R. S. 58

2 To the Khazanchi. No HC4936 dt 16-5-12

Refuses to grant to chelwa narasamma
her daughter, any portion of the pension
enjoyed by Sarja Rangappa naik and now
granted to his widow

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(S&A)

NOTES.

File No. 52/11

FILE HEADING.

Jarikere Pallepar Family Pension.

From

The Asst. Comptroller in charge, State Huzur Treasury

No. 10 Dated 24-7-11 Received 26-7-11

Diary No.	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No.
347	23		1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards the records of enquiry on the petition of Chelwa Navalamma - illegal wife of late Sarja Ranfabba naik praying that she may be given Rs 14/- p.m. for the maintenance of herself & her daughter out of the pension of Rs 126-9-0 Sanctioned to Honnamma Nafathi - wife of late Sarja Ranfabba Naik.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

1. The Huzur Treasury Officer forwards the entire records of enquiry made on the petition of Chelwa Navalamma ~~wife~~ concubine of late Sarja Ranfabba Naik, whose pension of Rs 126-9-0 was Sanctioned to his wife Honnamma Nafathi in G.O. No. H.S. 2768-8 & S&A. 285-10-4.

Y

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—30,000—27-6-11

Serial No.

dated 22nd May 1911 and recommend that the petitioner may be given ~~Rs~~ 10/- p.m. for the maintenance of herself & her daughter out of the pension sanctioned to Hanumanna Nayakkan for the following reasons:—

(a) Under the Hindu Law, illegitimate relationship among the Kshatriyas and Sudras is recognized and the fact of exclusive and continuous concubinage does constitute a claim to maintenance among the higher classes

(b) In 1877 Govt. have recognized the illegitimate sons and offsprings in the Manjarabad family and sanctioned pensions to them.

2. But in the case of one Krishnadeva Murti and Vasudeva Murti – illegitimate sons of Venkatadri Naik of Manjarabad family claiming for a share in the pension, Govt. have declined to grant them any pension. (vide Sir K. Seshadri Iyer's order dt 19-6-95 & 10-7-96 and His Highness order dt 6th December 1902)

3. In the case of Mrs. Padmavati Bai for the grant of some pension in the Bagalur family, Govt. have declined to grant her any pension on the score that she was not a ~~legally~~ lawfully wedded wife of B.J. Ramaswami

Z, V, 9

R T U

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—5,000—26-6-11

General and Revenue Secretariat. | S/N File No. 52 of 1911.

X

Serial No.

Ramaswami Naik. (S/N. 285-10, SCs: 1 to 4). 570-10

Subject for orders

Mc-85
11-8-11

Work-up & In the letters written by Sanja Ranganna Naik to the applicant, the address is written as Chelluva Narasa Sani. The termination Sani is one adopted by dancing girls of the Nativa Caste. Again in her deposition before the S. H. Dy Officer the applicant deposes that she belongs to the Padmasale Sevaka of the Neiggy Caste and that the custom of becoming public woman obtains in this Caste. Her contention is that she was not a prostitute before coming under Ranganna Naik's protection keeping and she admits herself that she was his Rakhav or keep. Karaiahappa She was nineteen 30 years old when she came under Ranganna Naik's protection it is difficult to believe that in a Caste in which morals are very loose that she could have hidden the fact of her being a prostitute before coming under Ranganna Naik's influence. Her statement is uncorroborated. There is therefore also strong presumption to hold her as a common prostitute not entitled to any maintenance.

5 Kantiena Krishnappa has

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—5,000—26-6-11

Serial No.

We may leave it to the parties interested to take action as they choose. [illegible] 8/11

deliberately perused himself in this evidence and it seems desirable to declare that the proceedings before the S.H.Z. Officer in the Cases of Nawab Shahan as a judicial enquiry so that prosecutions for perjury can be sustained under the I.P.C. This matter will, if approved, be transferred to the [illegible] for further action.

6 Draft declining the pauper application as the widow not the lawfully wedded wife of the deceased is submitted for approval.

14.8.11.

(Pulteey)

Clerk to Government to see and return the connected papers. [illegible] 24/8/11

7. Draft may be approved.

(Dewan) 18/9/11

13671

19.9.11

Draft approved by the Dewan 8.5.12

Issue

11/5/12

2. To the Khazana Bakshi.
No 4936 Dt. 16.5.12.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—5,000—26-6-11.

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

SHP File No. 52 of 1911

Serial No.	
	Refuses to grant to Cheluva Narasamma or her daughters any portion of the pension enjoyed by Sarja Rangappa naik & now granted to his widow.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

STATE HUZUR TREASURY
24 JUL 1911
BANGALORE.

0347

State Huzur Treasury,
Bangalore, 24th July 1911

From,
R. Krishnaswami Rao Esq B.A. B.L.
Khazana Bakshi,
State Huzur Treasury,
Bangalore.

No. 10
Cur: 23.

To,
C.S. Balasundram Iyer Esq B.A.,
Officiating Secretary to the
Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
General & Revenue Departments,
Bangalore.

Sir,

In forwarding the petition dated 20th June 1911 from one Chelva Narsaima (with its enclosures and the record of Enquiry), I have the honor to State as follows:—

The matter of the late Sarja Rangappa Naik having left a Will formed the subject of

* to be obliged if returned when no longer required.

Order No. Jl 3220 S&A 785-10 2 dated 14th December 1910 and the decision arrived at was that there was no evidence of any Will having been left by the Deceased Pollegar. This report was entirely based on the Statement of the Pollegar's Agent Kantina Krishnappa who explained away his previous Statement alleging the existence of the Will as being mere hearsay and having no foundation in fact.

On receipt of Chelwa Nara-sama's Petition, Kantina Krishnapa was again summoned and examined, and I regret to say that he now shamefacedly states that his previous State-ment denying the Will is a lie. Unfortunately no penal measures can be taken for this perjury on his part except in a round about way and for various

reasons

desirable.

Now with regard to the Will itself, we are concerned with it only so far as it contains the testator's wishes though of course the Government are not at all bound by them. The Copy of the Will alleged to have been sent to her by the late Sarja Rangappa Naik, no doubt, does make a provision for the petitioner, but she has failed to let in any prima evidence as to its genuineness. The Will therefore must be held to have been not proved.

At the same time, however, she has produced letters (herein enclosed) from the late Sarja Rangappa Naik which leave no manner of doubt that she was under his protection till his death as his mistress. The evidence she has let in also shows that she lived in Exclusive and Continuous Concubinage with

X. 1. Letter with Cover Dated 14.2.1900 by Sarja Rangappa Naik to the address of the Petitioner
2. do without date do do
3. do Dated 11.7.10 to do do
4. do Dated 10.6.1900 to do do
5. do without date to do do
6. Letter do do do

also by him. The question now is whether this fact entitles her and her child to any claim on him or his family for maintenance. Under the Hindu Law illegitimate relation-ship among the Kshtraiyas and Sudras is recognized and the fact of Exclusive and Continuous Concubinage does Constitute a claim to maintenance even among the higher classes.

While the Polleyar families are in most part, I regret to say, fast degenerating and falling away from their original high sense of honor and dignity, the recognition of any claim such as the one under discussion which is characterised only to accelerate the degeneration is greatly to be deprecated. If however in view of the fact that there

have

Z.V.Q

4

(Manjrabad Family - V. Government Order No. 1326 dated 28.3.1877.)

have been among the Dollegars, cases of such illegitimate unions and their offspring ~~recognized~~ the recognition of by Government, — they are inclined ~~to~~ consider the Petitioner's case with favor. I would recommend an allowance of about ten rupees ~~to her to be~~ paid out of the pension of Rs 126-9-0 which has been sanctioned ~~to~~ Hommamma Nayati.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,
Thomas Ainsworth Pope
Khazana Bakshi,
State Huzur Treasury

G.R.S. 28.]

4513.25

Pallegar draft

52

File No. 11

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to 347

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
(S.R.A.)

, the 4/9

Date of issue Mr S. Shamanna

Signed by 16-5-XII

READ—

G.O. No 5767-8/SRA 285-10 dt 22nd May 1911 ~~re~~ Sanctioning a Pallegar Pension of ~~Rs~~ 126-9-0 p.m. to Hanmamma Nayathi widow of Sarja Rangappa Naik deceased

~~ORDER THEREON.—No.~~

Petition of the Sankere Pallegar Family.

2) Letter No 10 dt 24th July 1911 from the Assistant Comptroller in charge State Huzur Treasury Submitting the over records

SUBJECT.

in-re claim of Chelava Naradamma for maintenance out of the pension granted to Honnamma Nagathi of the Sarikeri Family.

Order thereon :- ft 4936 dt 26.5.12

Govt. See no reason to grant ~~any maintenance~~ to Chelava Naradamma ~~as she was not the lawfully wedded wife of the deceased Palleyar Peetimer Sanja Ranganna Naik nor can the child be considered to be entitled to any maintenance.~~ or her daughter any portion ~~out~~ of the pension enjoyed by the late Sanja Ranganna Naik & now granted to his widow Honnamma Nagathi

CB 18/9/14

Dy: Secretary.

8 Mayor

15/9/14.

To Asst Comptroller to Govt. in charge State Huzur Treasury, returning the enclosures.

Mul-85
15-8-XI